UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

TRAVIS HEFFLEY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

FCI OTISVILLE,

Defendant.

20-CV-5811 (LLS)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g)

LOUIS L. STANTON, United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, currently incarcerated in FCI Otisville in Otisville, New York, filed this action *pro se* and seeks to proceed without prepayment of fees, that is, *in forma pauperis* (IFP). He asserts that he is being subjected to unconstitutional conditions of confinement. For the following reasons, the Court directs Plaintiff to show cause why his IFP application should not be denied under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), the Prison Litigation Reform Act's three-strikes provision, and this action dismissed.

PRISON LITIGATION REFORM ACT

Congress adopted the Prison Litigation Reform Act (PLRA) with the purpose of deterring not only frivolous and malicious civil actions, but also actions that fail to state a claim on which relief may be granted. *See Lomax v. Ortiz-Marquez*, 140 S. Ct. 1721, 1726 (2020); *Nicholas v. Tucker*, 114 F.3d 17, 19 (2d Cir 1997). To serve this deterrent purpose, the PLRA includes the following "three-strikes" provision:

In no event shall a prisoner bring a civil action...under this section if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury.

28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Consequently, a prisoner who has filed at least three civil actions or appeals meeting these criteria is ineligible to proceed IFP and must pay the filing fees before the Court can entertain a new civil action unless the prisoner qualifies for the "imminent danger" exception.

The Court has identified the following civil actions filed by Plaintiff as strikes under § 1915(g):

- (1) Heffley v. Federal Bureau of Prisons, ECF 1:17-CV-5518, 10 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 22, 2018), which was dismissed for failure to state a claim on which relief may be granted;
- (2) Heffley v. Federal Bureau of Prisons, ECF 1:17-CV-10033, 9 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 20, 2018), which was dismissed for failure to state claim on which relief may be granted; and
- (3) Heffley v. Federal Bureau of Prisons, No. 18-CV-0140 (S.D. Ill. June 8, 2018), which was dismissed for failure to state a claim on which relief may be granted.

The Court finds that Plaintiff has accumulated three strikes under the PLRA and he is therefore barred under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) from filing any actions IFP. Because Plaintiff is barred under § 1915(g) from proceeding IFP unless he is "under imminent danger of serious physical injury," he must pay the filing fee for this action.

Plaintiff does not allege any facts suggesting that he was in imminent danger of serious physical injury when he filed this case. Instead, he brings this action asserting that prison officials have subjected him to unconstitutional conditions of confinement, which includes

¹ An imminent danger is not one "that has dissipated by the time a complaint is filed," *Pettus v. Morgenthau*, 554 F.3d 293, 296 (2d Cir. 2009); rather, it must be one "existing at the time the complaint is filed," *Malik v. McGinnis*, 293 F.3d 559, 563 (2d Cir. 2002).

instituting a "COVID-19 pandemic influenza prevention lockdown" that causes him to spend approximately 21 hours in his room, and forcing him to double bunked with another prisoner for at least 17 days. (ECF No. 1, at 2.)

NOTICE AND OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD

A *pro se* litigant is generally entitled to notice and an opportunity to be heard before the Court issues a final decision that is unfavorable to the litigant. *See Snider v. Melindez*, 199 F.3d 108, 113 (2d Cir. 1999) (requirement of notice and opportunity to be heard "plays an important role in establishing the fairness and reliability" of the dismissal order, "avoids the risk that the court may overlook valid answers to its perception of defects in the plaintiff's case," and prevents unnecessary appeals and remands). The Court therefore grants Plaintiff leave to submit a declaration showing that, while a prisoner, he has not filed three or more cases that were dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or for failure to state a claim. Plaintiff must submit this declaration within thirty days. If Plaintiff does not make this showing, or if he fails to respond to this order, the Court will deny Plaintiff's IFP application, dismiss the action without prejudice, and bar Plaintiff from filing future actions IFP while he is a prisoner.²

CONCLUSION

The Clerk of Court is directed to mail a copy of this order to Plaintiff and note service on the docket. The Court directs Plaintiff to show cause why the Court should not deny his IFP application under the PLRA's three strikes provision, 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Plaintiff must file a declaration within thirty days explaining any reason why he should not be barred under the PLRA. A declaration form is attached to this order for Plaintiff's convenience. If Plaintiff does not show cause, or if he fails to respond to this order, the Court will deny Plaintiff's IFP

² Plaintiff is not barred from filing a new case by prepaying the filing fees.

application, dismiss this action without prejudice, and bar Plaintiff under § 1915(g) from filing future actions IFP while he is a prisoner. All other pending matters in this case are terminated. SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 16, 2021

New York, New York

Louis L. Stanton U.S.D.J.

Louis L. Stanton

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	_			
	_			
Write the first and last name of each plaintiff or				
petitioner.				
	Case No.	CV		
-against-				
Ü				
	_			
	=			
	_			
Write the first and last name of each defendant or	=			
respondent.				
respondent				
DECLARAT	ION			
DECLARAT	ION			
Briefly explain above the purpose of the declaration, for	or example "in Oppo	sition to Defendant's		
Motion for Summary Judgment," or "in Response to Or				
Wotton for Summary Judgment, or in nesponse to or	aci to snow cause.			
I,, decla	clare under penalty of perjury that the			
· ·	1 3	1 , 3		
following facts are true and correct:				
In the space below, describe any facts that are relevant	t to the motion or th	at respond to a court		
In the space below, describe any facts that are relevant to the motion or that respond to a court order. You may also refer to and attach any relevant documents.				
order. Tournay also refer to and attach any relevant do	ocuments.			

Attach additional pages and documents if neces	sary.			
Executed on (date)	9	Signature		
	_			
Name	F	Prison Identification # (if incarcerated)		
Address	City		State	Zip Code
	_			
Telephone Number (if available)	E	E-mail Address (if available)		